

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,820	11/16/2001	Darin Prizzi	PRIZZI - 2	8704	
75	90 08/14/2002				
ALLEN D. BRUFSKY, ESQ. ALLEN D. BRUFSKY, P.A. 8930 BAY COLONY DRIVE		DOCKETED ON  8/26/02	EXAMINER		
			KING, ANITA M		
UNIT # 604 NAPLES, FL 34108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
- · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		BY DM//	3632		
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>,</u>					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	17				
Office Action Summary		09/993,820	PRIZZI, DARIN	12				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Anita M. King	3632					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed 's will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicati D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 I	November 2001 .						
2a)□	•	is action is non-final.						
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	S)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
	ion Papers The appellication is objected to but the Evernine							
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception acceptance and acceptance are also acceptance.	<u> </u>	minor					
10)	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
٠٠/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re-	·						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* ;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional applica	ation).				
	a)  The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	* *						
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	<b>-</b> •				
C Datast and	Trademark Office							

This is the first office action for application number 09/993,820, Towel Holder, filed on November 16, 2001.

### Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "said hinge plates" in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3632

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,611,123 to Prizzi. Prizzi discloses in combination a releasable tube holder (10) and a longitudinal support (40), the tube holder comprising: a circular plastic tube split lengthwise into longitudinally mirror image straight halves forming two opposed clamping members (16, 18) joined together at across one pair of split edges (20) and separated by a second pair of split edges (20), each of the clamping members provided with at least one separated handle member (22) positioned on one of the clamping member halves and opposite another handle member on the other clamping member half, the clamping member halves being hingedly connected by a hinge member (26) on along one pair of the split edges to activate opening and closing of the opposed clamping members and separation and closing of the second pair of split edges which engage and are secured to the support by applying and removing pressure on the oppositely positioned handle members.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1-3, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prizzi in view of U.S. Patent 6,158,095 to Lassiter. Prizzi discloses in combination a releasable tube holder (10) and a longitudinal support (40), the tube holder comprising: a circular plastic tube split lengthwise into longitudinally mirror image straight halves forming two opposed clamping members (16, 18) joined together at across one pair of split edges (20) and separated by a second pair of split edges (20), each of the clamping members provided with at least one separated handle member (22) positioned on one of the clamping member halves and opposite another handle member on the other clamping member half, the clamping member halves being hingedly connected by a hinge between the first par of split edges to activate opening and closing of the opposed clamping members and separation and closing of the second pair of split edges which engage and are secured to the support by applying and removing pressure on the oppositely positioned handle members; the longitudinal support supporting a towel (38); the plastic tube having an interior surface (36) which has been serrated; wherein the support is a beach chair having a longitudinal top support. Prizzi discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of the hinge being a living hinge. Lassiter teaches that it is known in the clamping art to have a clamp (14) including clamping members, a lever (16) connected to one of the clamping halves by a living hinge (Col. 1, line 33ff) to activate opening and closing of the opposed clamping members. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the hinge in Prizzi to have been a living hinge as taught by Lassiter for the purpose of providing an alternative mechanical

Art Unit: 3632

equivalent means for opening and closing the holder and to minimize the cost to manufacture the holder.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prizzi. Prizzi discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation of the plastic tube being formed of polypropylene. Prizzi discloses that the plastic tube is formed from polyvinyl chloride or similar plastic material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the material of the plastic in Prizzi to be polypropylene for the purpose of providing an alternative mechanical equivalent material of similar rigidity.

Claims 6, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prizzi in view of U.S. Patent 6,419,193 to Rodriquez. Prizzi discloses the claimed invention except for the limitations of the hinge having a hinge pin and a torsion spring and the hinge member extending along one pair of the straight split edges. Rodriquez teaches that it is known in the clamp art to have a cylindrical extension (45) being split lengthwise into longitudinally mirror image straight halves forming two opposed clamping members, at least one handle (40) positioned on the clamping member halves opposite another handle (42), the halves being hingedly interconnected with a hinge pin (@43) connected by a hinge on each clamp half, a torsion spring (46), the hinge activates opening and closing of the opposed clamping members and separation and closing of the second pair of split edges which engage and are secured to a support (23') by applying and removing pressure on the oppositely positioned handle members; wherein the hinge extends along and in the direction of the one pair of straight across

Art Unit: 3632

split edges; and wherein the hinge extends axially along the first pair of split edges. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art the time the invention was made to have modified the hinge in Prizzi to have included the hinge as taught by Rodriquez for the purpose of providing an alternative mechanical equivalent means for opening and closing the holder on the support.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prizzi combined with Rodriquez and in further view of Lassiter. Prizzi combined with Rodriquez disclose the claimed invention except for the limitation of the hinge member being a living hinge. Lassiter teaches that it is known in the clamping art to have a clamp (14) including clamping members, a lever (16) connected to one of the clamping halves by a living hinge (Col. 1, line 33ff) to activate opening and closing of the opposed clamping members. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the hinge in Prizzi to have been a living hinge as taught by Lassiter for the purpose of providing an alternative mechanical equivalent means for opening and closing the holder and to minimize the cost to manufacture the holder.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 139,285 to Wheeler

U.s. Patent 1,088,602 to Marx

Art Unit: 3632

U.S. Patent 2,149,971 to Liebmann

U.S. Patent 2,596,635 to Wolfe

U.s. Patent 3,500,789 to Keats

U.S. Patent 4,722,120 to Lu

U.S. Patent D305,402 to Novak

U.s. Patent 5,829,832 to Molee et al.

U.S. Patent 6,192,619 to Pirkle

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-3597 for regular communications and (703) 308-3519 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Anita M. King Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632